



TO: All Bidders


DATE: 24 April 2025

FROM: Sally Alvarez de Schreiner
Chief, Procurement Services Section

REF.: RFP No. 2025-0057/MOGAPI *l.w.*

TEL. NO.: +43 1 26030 6350

EMAIL: procurement@ctbto.org



SUBJECT: **Clarifications No. 1 to RFP 2025-0057/MOGAPI - Establishment of Infrastructure Related to the Installation of the Radionuclide Station RN12, Recife, Brazil**

Dear Bidders,

In reference to request for proposal (RFP) No. 2025-0057/MOGAPI concerning “Establishment of Infrastructure Related to the Installation of the Radionuclide Station RN12, Recife, Brazil”:

1. Please find attached the **Clarifications No. 1** to questions raised by interested bidders.

The attached Clarifications No.1 are an integral part of the RFP documents and shall be considered in the preparation and submission of proposals.

We are looking forward to receiving your proposal prior to the deadline for the submission of proposal on 09 May 2025, 17:00 hours, Vienna (Austria) local time.

Sincerely,

SAS
Sally Alvarez de Schreiner
Chief, Procurement Services Section

Attachments:

1. *Questions and Answers – Clarifications No. 1*

Clarifications No. 1 – RFP 2025-0057/MOGAPI

“Establishment of Infrastructure Related to the Installation of the Radionuclide Station
RN12, Recife, Brazil”

Item#	QUESTION	ANSWER
1	The technical overview of the required power system does not include any consideration for an onsite backup power system (Solar PV and/or Diesel Generator incl. battery storage etc). Is the installation of an onsite backup power system envisaged under a future phase of this project? If so, can the Commission share any technical information about the envisaged system?	There is no auxiliary back up system foreseen for this station, not in the framework of this project, nor at a future stage.
2	We note the requirement to provide a cost for an optional trip to equipment manufacturer based in Chantilly, VA. Can the Commission confirm if the cost for this optional activity is considered part of the overall project cost for the purposes of financial proposal scoring/ranking?	Yes, the cost for the optional trip to the Commission’s equipment manufacturer based in Chantilly, VA will be considered as part of the overall project cost for the purposes of financial proposal scoring/ranking.
3	<p>With reference to the following text:</p> <p><i>[WORK TASK 3: SUPPORT DURING TRANSPORT, STORAGE AND INSTALLATION</i></p> <p><i>Optional Services</i></p> <p><i>(iv) Provide all consumables and tools expected to be necessary to ensure reliable and continuous operation, troubleshooting, and maintenance of the Station infrastructure until successful completion of the System installation and initial testing.]</i></p> <p>Please clarify, in the case that the consumables and tools are required, will the Commission also require the secondment of relevant technicians to perform works?</p>	No additional staff is required to be quoted for this option.